The Use of Deep Eutectic Solvents Ionic Liquids for Selective Dissolution and Recovery of Sn, Pb and Zn from Electric and Electronic Waste (WEEE)

ANA MARIA POPESCU¹, CRISTINA DONATH¹, ELENA IONELA NEACSU¹, VASILE SOARE², IONUT CONSTANTIN², MARIAN BURADA², DANIELA VIOLETA DUMITRESCU², KAZIMIR YANUSKEVICH³, VIRGIL CONSTANTIN^{1*}

¹lie Murgulescu Institute of Physical Chemistry, Laboratory of Electrochemistry and Corrosion, 202 Splaiul Independentei, Bucharest, Romania

²National R&D Institute for Nonferrous and Rare Metals - IMNR, 102 BiruinTei Blvd., 012128, Pantelimon, Ilfov County, Romania ³Scientific-Practical Materials Research Centre, National Academy of Belarus, P.Brovki 19 Str., 220072, Minsk, Belarus

The recovery of metals from a multi-component alloy obtained by crushing, melting and anodic dissolution of waste electric and electronic equipment (WEEE) has been investigated. The aim of this paper is to selective recover of Sn, Pb and Zn by a novel ecological technology using ionic liquids. Metallic Sn, Pn and Zn were electrochemically recovered from the WEEE dissolved in choline chloride-ethylene glycol-iodine ionic liquid. Cyclic voltammetry was used in order to determine the deposition potentials of the studied metals. XRD and SEM/EDX analysis methods were used to characterize the structure and morphology of the metallic deposits. Evolution of the cathodic deposition and of the chemical composition of the anode during the anodic dissolution process for Sn, Pb and Zn was also studied. This study has demonstrated the possibility of selective recovery of Sn, Pb and Zn from the multi-component alloy (which resulted from consecutive anodic dissolution of WEEE) by anodic dissolution/deposition in ionic liquids.

Keywords: waste electric and electronic equipment, ethaline ionic liquid, Sn, Pb and Zn, recovery, cyclic voltammetry

The processing of waste from electric and electronic equipment (WEEE, also called as electronic wastes or ewastes) has been attracting more and more concerns from scientists all over the world. The key to WEEE recycle is waste from printed circuit boards (WPCBs), which are an essential part of almost all electric and electronic equipment, such as laptops, air conditioners, TV equipment, etc.). A novel method to recycle resources from WPCBs is by using organic solvents named ionic liquids as electrolytes.

Ionic liquids (ILs), also called as room temperature ionic liquids (RTILs), are basically liquid at low temperature. Typically, it consists of an organic cation with an inorganic or organic anion. ILs have a wide liquid temperature range and also possess numerous unique properties, such as negligible volatility, vapor pressure, thermal stability, high conductivity and wide electrochemical window [1]. ILs are powerful solvents and electrolytes with potential from high selectivity in both dissolution and recovery of metals. In the recent years, room temperature ionic liquids are increasingly applied for cathodic deposition of numerous metals, alloys or semiconductor compounds [2, 3]. The advantages of these novel baths include: electroplating of electronegative metals and their alloys; significantly reduced hydrogen evolution as compared with the acidic aqueous baths conventionally employed; lower electrical energy consumption comparing with aqueous solutions.

Ionic liquids show good electrical conductivity due to their complete ionic structure, are electrochemically robust with a large potential window and have an extremely low vapor pressure. The thermal stability of the ionic liquid is useful to obtain crystalline semiconductor films through direct electrodeposition at higher temperatures without subsequent annealing.

Currently, ILs were successfully applied in leaching metals from ores [4]. However, the literature on leaching metals from WPCBs by ILs is limited. In previous study [5] we reported copper recovery in WEEE leachate by using DES ionic liquids based on choline chloride (ChCl). DESs are based on a mixture of ChCl with suitable hydrogen bond donors, like ethylene glycol (EG) [6]. In contrast to aqueous liquids where the solubility of metals is limited by the tendency of water to combine with metal ions and precipitate oxides and hydroxides, in water free ionic liquids much higer metal salt concentrations can be achieved. In last decade many authors has reported metals and alloys (and especially Sn, Pb and Zn) deposition by dissolution of metal/oxide salts in DES [7-12].

In this work we show that DES formed by an eutectic mixture of ChCl and EG can be used in the presence of iodine for the selective dissolution and recovery of Sn, Pb and Zn from electric and electronic waste (WEEE).

Experimental part

The background electrolyte (ethaline) was prepared by mixing ChCl (Aldrich 98%, dried for 24-120 h at 80°C) with EG (Aldrich 99%); by heating in a beaker the two components in 1:2 molar ratio at above 60°C for 30 min, until a homogeneous and colorless liquid was formed.

For the cyclic voltammetry measurements $SnCl_2 \times 2H_2O$, PbCl₂, and ZnCl₂ (99%, Merck) reagents were used as precursors of Sn^{2+} , Pb²⁺ and Zn²⁺ ions without any purification, being dissolved in the supporting electrolyte ChCl-EG. In order to calculate the solution molarities we used a density value of ChCl-EG of 1.09 g×cm⁻³ determined in our laboratory at 70°C [13].

The WEEEs were processed through an innovative method of melting in a microwave installation, which allowed the complete separation of the organic and metallic fractions. The multi-component WEEE (sample 1) was obtained by melting in the microwave oven the cake resulting from PCB milling (dimensions <5 mm). After melting, it was poured as a ingot into a metal ingotch,

^{*} email: virgilconstantin@yahoo.com

wt.%	Cu	Sn	Zn	Рb	Fe	Ni	A1	Ag	Au	Table 1 CHEMICAL COMPOSITION (wt.%) OF
Sample (S1)	67.18	10.93	6.48	5.22	4.00	1.11	4.40	0.4	0.01	THE PROCESSED WEEE

Other elements (Mn, Si, Cr, V, etc) < 0.3%

ingot dimensions 200 mm x 80 mm x 30 mm. Three samples were taken from different areas of the ingot, the samples were analyzed by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrophotometry ICP-OES for Cu, Sn, Zn, Pb, Fe, Ni, and by FAAS atomic absorption spectrometry for Au, Ag. The average of values is shown in the Table 1. More details for the obtaining of the anodic slime from consecutive anodic dissolution of cast WEEE was presented in a previous work [14].

In order to determine the mechanism of metals electrodeposition we used cyclic voltammetry (CV). For the CV measurements the electrochemical cell was connected to a PARSTAT 2273 potentiostat driven by PC computer. A platinum sheet (0.5 cm²) and a glassy carbon (GC) disk (ϕ =0.3 mm) were used as working electrode, whereas the auxiliary electrode was a platinum mesh. A silver wire immersed in the working electrolyte was the quasi-reference electrode [15]. The working electrode was polished mechanically with alumina oxide paste, washed with bi-distilled water and dried prior to measurement. Electrochemical experiments were performed via a 100 mL jacketed cooling glass cell linked to a thermostated bath. The voltammograms were recorded with scan rates of 10-100 mV \times s⁻¹. Supplementary voltammetric measurement was performed for determining the electrochemical window of the above solvent. The temperature of the electrolyte was set at 70°C using a thermostat.

The selective deposition of the studied Sn, Pb and Zn from the WEEE sample was carried out in a electrolysis cell (250 mL) with one copper cathode ($60 \times 30 \times 0.2$ mm) and a central cast anode, immersed in the ChCl-EG electrolyte with 0.1 M of pure iodine (99.5%, Riedel-de-Haen) as oxidizing agent. In fact the dissolution of WEEE sample and the selective deposition of metals take place once. This method consists of the direct extraction of metals through an electrochemical process without a prior chemical dissolution. The electrodeposition process was realized using a stabilized power supply-I 4115 IEMI Romania of 30 V-15 A. In order to speed up the dissolution process the electrolysis cell was introduced into an ultrasonic bath. During the experiments the cell voltage was between 0.3-1.3 V depending on which metal we want to deposit and the anodic current density was 0.01- $0.02 \text{ A} \times \text{cm}^{-2}$

The chemical composition of the cathodic deposit and anode was determined using a Spectroflame PICP analyser. The thickness of metal deposits is determined with the aid of compact inverted metallurgical microscope GX41 with the accuracy of $\pm 0.1 \mu m$ on the polished end face of the substrate from cooper with $d_{c_u}=0.5$ mm thickness and deposited coating layer. For characterization of the structure/microstructure of the metal deposits obtained we used X-ray diffraction (XRD) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The study of the metal deposits crystal structure was carried out in CuK_a-radiation by the modified diffractometer DRON-2 in the survey X-ray photographs regime by the points. A scanning electron microscope XL-30-ESEM TMP Philips-Netherland, equipped with an energy dispersive X-ray analyzer (EDX) was used to ascertain deposit morphology and composition.

Results and discussions

Cyclic voltammetry investigations

A series of cyclic voltammetric experiments were performed for determining the dissolution/deposition potentials of the studied metals.

Figure 1 presents an example of the voltammogram in ChCl-EG (1:2 moles) eutectic as supporting electrolyte. It was noticed the large domain of potentials (from -1.3 V to +1.2 V) representing the *potential window* of more than 2V for selected ionic liquid, which is about 2 times wider in comparison with similar parameter of aqueous solutions (1.23 V).





Figure 2 shows the voltammetric profile of Sn (II) on GC and Pt electrodes for the DES used. When Sn (II) is added to ethaline (1ChCl:2EG) a well-defined peak is observed at

-0.89 V in the cathodic scan, that can be attributed to the reduction of Sn^{2+} ion. By changing the working electrode the shape of the cyclic voltamogramm is changing, *i.e.* Figure 2b shows that reduction of Sn^{2+} (on Pt electrode) started at -0.384 V. A mass transfer limiting current is again observed at potentials more negative than -0.5 V. The reverse sweep shows two stripping peaks: one anodic peak starting at a -0.250 V and another at -0.125 V. In a published work these peaks are attributed to the oxidation of two different tin ionic species present in the electrolyte [16]. However, we evidenced cathodic peak on both Pt and GC electrode representing the reduction of Sn^{2+} in a single step step at -0.45 V and respectively at -0.89 V. Our results are in good agreement with literature data [7, 17, 18].



Fig. 2 Cyclic voltammogram in (1ChCl-2EG) containing $SnCl_2 \times 2H_2O$ at 70°C: a) on GC, 0.05M $SnCl_2 \times 2H_2O$, scan rate100 mV×s⁻¹



Fig. 2 Cyclic voltammogram in (1ChCl-2EG) containing $SnCl_2 \times 2H_2O$ at 70°C: b) on Pt, 0.1 M $SnCl_2 \times 2H_2O$, scan rate10 mV×s⁻¹

Figure 3 presents the cyclic voltammograms for Pb^{2+} and Zn^{2+} cathodic reduction. Figure 3a illustrates the deposition of Pb metal, a process that started at -0.25 V with an increasing current during negative going scan. The cathodic peak is at -0.311 V and it was assigned to the reduction of Pb²⁺ in one step with 2 electron transfer. The current increase at more negative potentials than -0.8 V, is due to the cathodic process of the cholinium cation of supporting electrolyte. These results are also in good agreement with literature data [19].

The cyclic voltammetry data for ChCl-EG eutectic with addition of ZnCl, is presented in figures 3b, c. Using Pt electrode (fig. 3b) the cathodic portion of the CV curve shows no well defined reduction peak but it is clear that the relative nucleation rate is slow. At more slow scan rates a cross-over of the current traces was observed at more negative potentials as evidence of nucleation and subsequent growth [11].

However, using glassy carbon (GC) working electrode (fig. 3b) the reduction of Zn is evidenced as a cathodic



Fig. 3 Cyclic voltammogramms in (1ChCl-2EG) eutectic containing Pb²⁺ or Zn²⁺ ions in different conditions: a) 0.1 M PbCl₂ at 50°C, Pt electrode, scan rate 100 mV×s⁻¹; b) 0.3 M ZnCl₂, 70°C, Pt electrode, scan rate 20 mV×s⁻¹; c) 0.1 M ZnCl₂, 70°C, GC electrode, scan rate 50 mV×s⁻¹ peak assigned to potential of -1.273 V, by a mechanism in one step reduction. On the anodic branch of voltammogram, the rising current at more positive potentials than 1.5 V is atributed to chlorine evolution. All these results are also in good agreement with literature data [11, 20, 21].

The selective galvanostatic recovery of the studied metals was done in the ChCl-EG ionic liquid with addition of iodine as an oxidizing/catalytic agent. In order to be sure that iodine do not interfere with any of the studied metals we used cyclic voltammetry. Figure 4 shows that the reduction/oxidizing peaks for iodine appear at +0.269 V and respectively at +0.638 V, which means that I₂ do not interfere with any of the voltammetric peaks for Sn, Pb or Zn.



Metals recovery by electro dissolution/deposition

After we demostrated the possibility of electrodeposition of Sn, Pb and Zn from ChCl-EG ionic liquid, we started to make selective dissolution of WEEE sample (of composition presented in table 1) and electrodeposition of the studied metals in ionic liquids.

Some preliminary experimental results [14] demonstrated that high purity Cu can be efficiently separated/recovered from the WEEE metallic fraction by anodic dissolution, so we extracted first of all the copper metal from the ingot and then we started the selective deposition of Sn, Pb and Zn.

The selective galvanostatic deposition tests for Sn from the WEEE sample led to smooth, uniform, glossy and adherent tin deposits at -0.45 V, current density 1.6 mA×cm², 60 min. It has been noticed that after this time, powdered tin is deposited over a cohesive, glossy and particularly smooth layer. The thickness of the deposited layer was 1.3 mm. The current efficiency for Sn deposition was calculated by gravimetric method and the obtained value was ~85%. The Pb deposition experiments from WEEE sample led to a fairly adherent gray-silver deposit of thickness ~ 2 mm and the current efficiency of the ~87%.

The Zn deposition from the same WEEE sample led to a bluish-white grey deposit with good adhesion and of \sim 20 mm thickness. The current efficiency of Zn deposition was found to be \sim 95%.

The process was carried out for each metal for 168 hours, until the complete dissolution of the anode. After complete dissolution, the electrolyte was filtered and the anodic slime was washed with bi-distilled water and alcohol and then dried. After drying, the anodic slime was melted to produce new anodes of different composition.

Tables 2-4 show the chemical composition of the cathodic deposits during the selective anodic dissolution process, while tables 5-7 show the chemical composition of the anode during the anodic dissolution process.

As it can be observed in tables 2-7, during the metals recovery process the content of precious metals is continuously decreasing in the anode, it practically remains constant in the cathodic deposit.

REV.CHIM.(Bucharest) $\blacklozenge 68 \blacklozenge No. 9 \blacklozenge 2017$

Image of prime Image of prime <thimage of="" prime<="" th=""> Image of</thimage>	Cathode d	eposit	Time	Cu	Sn	Zn	Ph :	Fe	Ni	A1	Ag	Au	Table 2
C O h -	[%]	opoon									6		CHEMICAL COMPOSITION
40 h 0.12 99.80 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.01 0.066 0.001 80 h 0.09 99.82 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.009 0.009 0.000 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.000 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.000 0.001 0.001 0.000 0.001			0 h	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	OF THE CATHODIC
B0 h 0.05 99:82 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.007 0.001 CANODIC DISSOL OTION INFORMATION OF THE EXTRACTION Cathode deposit Time Cu Sn Zn Pb Fe Ni Al Ag Au [%] 0.h - <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>40 h</td><td>0.12</td><td>99 80</td><td>0.01</td><td>0.01</td><td>0.02</td><td>0.02</td><td>0.01</td><td>0 006</td><td>0 001</td><td>DEPOSIT DURING THE</td></t<>			40 h	0.12	99 80	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.01	0 006	0 001	DEPOSIT DURING THE
I 20 h 0.07 99.84 0.02 0.03 0.01 0.001 0.009 0.000 I 88 h 0.04 99.85 0.03 0.04 0.01 0.01 0.005 0.000 0.000 Cathode deposit Time Cu Sn Zn Pb Fe Ni Al Ag Au [%] 0 h - <td></td> <td></td> <td>80 h</td> <td>0.09</td> <td>99.82</td> <td>0.01</td> <td>0.02</td> <td>0.01</td> <td>0.02</td> <td>0.01</td> <td>0.007</td> <td>0.001</td> <td>ANODIC DISSOLUTION</td>			80 h	0.09	99.82	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.007	0.001	ANODIC DISSOLUTION
Instruction			120 h	0.07	99.84	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.001	0.009	0 000	PROCESS FOR Sn
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			168 h	0.04	99.85	0.03	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.005	0.010	0.000	EXTRACTION
Oh -	Cathode [%	deposit 6]	t Time	Cu	Sn	Zn	РЪ	Fe	Ni	A1	Ag	Au	Table 3
40 h 0.12 0.03 0.02 99.77 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.001 0.001 DURNG THE ANODIC 120 h 0.06 0.10 0.03 99.78 0.02 0.01 0.001 0.001 DURNG THE ANODIC 120 h 0.06 0.10 0.03 99.78 0.02 0.01 0.007 0.001 DURNG THE ANODIC 168 h 0.05 0.16 0.04 99.85 0.02 0.01 0.005 0.009 0.001 Cathode deposit Time Cu Sn Zn Pb Fe Ni Al Ag Au 10 h 0.12 0.03 99.79 0.03 0.02 0.01 0.007 0.001 DURNG THE ANODIC 120 h 0.04 0.02 99.87 0.03 0.02 0.01 0.007 0.001 DURNG THE ANODIC 120 h 69.32 1.68 5.22 4.00 1.11 4.40 0.65 0.03 0.01 0.05 <td></td> <td></td> <td>0 h</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>THE CATHODIC DEPOSIT</td>			0 h	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	THE CATHODIC DEPOSIT
B0 h 0.10 0.04 0.03 99.78 0.02 0.01 0.07 0.001 DISSOLUTION PROCESS FOR Ph 120 h 0.06 0.10 0.03 99.80 0.01 0.01 0.005 0.009 0.001 168 h 0.05 0.16 0.04 99.80 0.02 0.01 0.005 0.009 0.001 Cathode deposit Time Cu Sn Zn Pb Fe Ni Al Ag Au [%] 0 h -			40 h	0.12	0.03	0.02	99.77	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.006	0.001	DUPING THE ANODIC
Image: Second			80 h	0.10	0.04	0.03	99.78	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.007	0.001	DISSOLUTION PROCESS FOR Ph
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			1201	0.06	0.10	0.03	00 80	0.01	0.01	0.005	0.000	0.001	FXTRACTRION
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			1681	1 0.05	0.16	0.04	99.85	0.02	0.01	0.005	0.009	0.001	LATIMOTION
Characterization Characterization<	Cathode	denosit	Time	Cu	Sn	Zn	Ph	Ee.	Ni	A1	Δσ	A11	Table 4
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	000000 [9]	acposi.		. 00		2.1	10	1.0			5		CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF
Ande Time Cu Sn Zn Pb Fe Ni A1 Ag Au 40 h 67.18 10.93 6.48 5.22 4.00 1.11 4.40 0.65 0.03 0.01 0.005 0.001 DISOLUTION PROCESS FOR Zn Anode Time Cu Sn Zn Pb Fe Ni A1 Ag Au EXTRACTION EXTRACTION Anode Time Cu Sn Zn Pb Fe Ni A1 Ag Au [%1] 0 h 67.18 10.93 6.48 5.22 4.00 1.11 4.40 0.65 0.03 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.03 0.05 0.03 0.05 0.03 0.05 0.03 0.05 0.03 0.05 0.03 0.05 0.03 0.05 0.03 0.05 0.03 0.05 0.03 0.05 0.03 0.05 0.03 0.05 0.03 0.05 0.0	L	•1	0 h	-		-	-	-					- THE CATHODIC DEPOSIT
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			40 h	0.12	0.03	00 70	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.006	0.00	DURING THE ANODIC
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			80 h	0.07	0.04	99.87	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.007	0.00	PISSOLUTION PROCESS FOR Zn
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			1201	1 0.04	0.02	99.87	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.00	5 0.008	0.00	1 EXTRACTION
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			1681	1 0.02	0.02	99.88	3 0.03	0.02	0.01	0.00	5 0.012	0.00	1
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				8						8			-
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Anode [%]	Time	Cu	Sn	Zn	Рb	Fe	Ni	A1	Ag	Au		Table 5
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		0 h	67.18	10.93	6.48	5.22	4.00	1.11	4.40	0.65	0.03	CH	TEMICAL COMPOSITION OF THE
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		40 h	68.50	6.56	7.50	6.20	5.00	1.56	4.00	0.65	0.03	СН	IEMICAL COMPOSITION OF THE
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		80 h	68.56	3.25	8.0	6.68	6.30	2.0	4.20	0.87	0.05	P P	ANODE DUKING THE ANODIC
LATIACTION Anode Time Cu Sn Zn Pb Fe Ni A1 A4 0.65 0.03 168 h LATIACTION Table 6 CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF THE CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF THE ANODE DURING THE ANODIC DISSOLUTION PROCESS FOR Pb EXTRACTION Anode Time Cu Sn Zn Pb Fe Ni A1 Ag Au [%] The Ni A1 Ag Au CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF THE ANODE DURING THE ANODIC DISSOLUTION PROCESS FOR Pb EXTRACTION Mi A1 Ag Au (%] Table 7 CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF THE ANODE DURING THE ANODIC DISSOLUTION OF THE ANODE DURING THE ANODIC DISSOLUTION PROCESS FOR Zn		120 h	69.32	1.68	8.72	6.62	6.85	2.1	4.30	0.88	0.05	D	EVTRACTION
$ \frac{\text{Anode } \text{Time } \text{Cu } \text{Sn } \text{Zn } \text{Pb } \text{Fe } \text{Ni } \text{A1 } \text{Ag } \text{Au}}{[\%]} \\ \hline 0 \text{ h } 67.18 & 10.93 & 6.48 & 5.22 & 4.00 & 1.11 & 4.40 & 0.65 & 0.03 \\ \hline 40 \text{ h } 67.18 & 10.93 & 6.48 & 5.22 & 4.00 & 1.11 & 4.4 & 0.65 & 0.03 \\ \hline 40 \text{ h } 67.18 & 10.93 & 6.48 & 5.22 & 4.00 & 1.11 & 4.4 & 0.65 & 0.03 \\ \hline 120 \text{ h } 68.56 & 8.21 & 8.41 & 1.89 & 4.63 & 2.56 & 5.11 & 0.61 & 0.02 \\ \hline 168 \text{ h } \text{Complete dissolution} \\ \hline \hline \text{Complete dissolution} \\ \hline \hline \text{Anode } \text{Time } \text{Cu } \text{Sn } \text{Zn } \text{Pb } \text{Fe } \text{Ni } \text{A1 } \text{Ag } \text{Au} \\ \hline [\%] & 0 \text{ h } 67.18 & 10.93 & 6.48 & 5.22 & 4.00 & 1.11 & 4.40 & 0.65 & 0.03 \\ \hline 0 \text{ h } 67.18 & 10.93 & 6.48 & 5.22 & 4.00 & 1.11 & 4.40 & 0.65 & 0.03 \\ \hline \text{Anode } \text{Time } \text{Cu } \text{Sn } \text{Zn } \text{Pb } \text{Fe } \text{Ni } \text{A1 } \text{Ag } \text{Au} \\ \hline [\%] & 0 \text{ h } 67.18 & 10.93 & 6.48 & 5.22 & 4.00 & 1.11 & 4.40 & 0.65 & 0.03 \\ \hline 40 \text{ h } 68.12 & 9.97 & 3.75 & 5.14 & 4.97 & 2.42 & 4.97 & 0.64 & 0.02 \\ \hline 80 \text{ h } 68.56 & 9.93 & 1.75 & 5.24 & 5.42 & 2.97 & 5.48 & 0.63 & 0.02 \\ \hline \end{array}$	168 1		Complete dissolution										EXTRACTION
Anode Inne Cu Sn Zn Po Pe Ni Ai Ag Au Ag Au Image	Anada	Time	<u>C</u> .,	C.	7.	D1.	Fo !	NG !	A 1	A.a. i	A		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	[%]	Time	Cu	51	Zn	FO	re	181	AI	Ag	AU		Table 6
40 h 67.18 10.93 6.48 5.22 4.00 1.11 4.4 0.65 0.03 0.03 0.01 80 h 68.12 8.56 7.34 3.25 4.27 2.41 4.58 0.63 0.02 120 h 68.56 8.21 8.41 1.89 4.63 2.56 5.11 0.61 0.02 168 h Complete dissolution Table 7 Chemical composition of the composition of the composition of the composition of the complete dissolution Table 7 Chemical composition of the compositicom compositicom composition of the composition of the		0 h	67.18	10.93	6.48	5.22	4.00 1	.11 4	40 (0.65 0	.03	CI	HEMICAL COMPOSITION OF THE
Ston 08.12 8.36 7.34 3.25 4.27 2.41 4.38 0.03 0.02 Anode Anode Anode State State <td>40 h</td> <td>67.18</td> <td>10.93</td> <td>0.48</td> <td>2.22</td> <td>4.00 1</td> <td></td> <td>4.4 (</td> <td>0.63 0</td> <td>.03</td> <td>CH</td> <td>IEMICAL COMPOSITION OF THE</td>		40 h	67.18	10.93	0.48	2.22	4.00 1		4.4 (0.63 0	.03	CH	IEMICAL COMPOSITION OF THE
Anode Time Cu Sn Zn Pb Fe Ni A1 Ag Au [%] 0 h 67.18 10.93 6.48 5.22 4.00 1.11 4.40 0.65 0.03 40 h 68.12 9.97 3.75 5.14 4.97 2.42 4.97 0.64 0.02 80 h 68.56 9.93 1.75 5.24 5.42 2.97 5.48 0.63 0.02		30 n	60.12	8.00	9.41	1.20	4.27 2	.41 4	11 1	0.63 0	.02		ANODE DURING THE ANODIC
Anode [%] Time Cu Cu Sn Zn Pb Fe Ni A1 Ag Au [%] 0 h 67.18 10.93 6.48 5.22 4.00 1.11 4.40 0.65 0.03 40 h 68.12 9.97 3.75 5.14 4.97 2.42 4.97 0.64 0.02 80 h 68.56 9.93 1.75 5.24 5.42 2.97 5.48 0.63 0.02		120 h 168 h	08.50	0.21	0.41	omplet	e dissolu	tion		0.01 ; 0	.02	D	ISSOLUTION PROCESS FOR Pb EXTRACTION
Image finder Image field	Anode	Time	Cr	Sn	Zn	Ph	Fe	Ni	Δ1	Δσ	Δp		Table 7
0 h 67.18 10.93 6.48 5.22 4.00 1.11 4.40 0.65 0.03 40 h 68.12 9.97 3.75 5.14 4.97 2.42 4.97 0.64 0.02 80 h 68.56 9.93 1.75 5.24 5.42 2.97 5.48 0.63 0.02	[%]	TIME	Cu	511	211	10	1.6	141		ng	Au	CF	IADIE / IEMICAL COMPOSITION OF THE
40 h 68.12 9.97 3.75 5.14 4.97 2.42 4.97 0.64 0.02 ANODE DURING THE ANODIC 80 h 68.56 9.93 1.75 5.24 5.42 2.97 5.48 0.63 0.02 ANODE DURING THE ANODIC	[,~]	0 h	67.18	10.93	6,48	5.22	4.00	1.11	4.40	0.65	0.03	CH	EMICAL COMPOSITION OF THE
80 h 68.56 9.93 1.75 5.24 5.42 2.97 5.48 0.63 0.02 DISSOLUTION PROCESS FOR Zn		40 h	68 12	9 97	3.75	5.14	4 97	2.42	4 97	0.64	0.02		ANODE DURING THE ANODIC
		80 h	68.56	9.93	1.75	5.24	5.42	2.97	5.48	0.63	0.02	D	ISSOLUTION PROCESS FOR Zn

CAL COMPOSITION OF THE DE DURING THE ANODIC LUTION PROCESS FOR Pb EXTRACTION Table 7

CAL COMPOSITION OF THE CAL COMPOSITION OF THE DE DURING THE ANODIC LUTION PROCESS FOR Zn EXTRACTION

Metallic deposits characterization

168 h

X-ray diffraction patterns are presented in figure 5. Figure 5a shows the X-ray pattern of the sample-tin coating with thickness ~ $(3.9-4.3\mu m)$ on cooper substrate. The reflexes of the copper substrate are much less in the intensity comparing to the diffraction reflexes of tin. It is established that all reflexes in figure 5a, not relating to copper, correspond to tin of the tetragonal structure of space group S.G.: 14/amd (141) [22]. The estimation of the unit cell parameters was made with the use quadratic form for the tetragonal structure [23, 24]. The obtained values of the Sn unit cell parameters are: a=0.5829 nm, c=0.3185 nm. The size of crystals, obtained by using the Scherrer equation is approx. 80-110 nm.

120 h 69.32 9.54 0.33 5.12 5.92 3.28

Complete dissolution

Figure 5b shows XRD analysis of the Pb deposit obtained on copper substrate. All peaks 2è (30°, 35°, 50°, 60°, 76°, 84°, 87°, 98° and 106°) correspond to Pb metal on copper substrate. The resulting pattern was compared with the standard JPCDS card, confirming the deposition of pure lead [24, 25]. The results of XRD pattern confirm that the Pb deposit consists only of Pb. The size of crystals, obtained

by using the Scherrer equation is approx. 120-210 nm. The XRD pattern of Zn deposit on copper substrate (fig.5c) detects signals of zinc at 36°, 39°, 42°, 54°, 71° and some copper signals from the substrate as the deposit was very thin [26]. The crystallites average sizes of 13-19 nm have been determined using the well-known Scherrer equation. The XRD pattern also confirms the deposition of pure zinc on copper cathode.

The scanning electron micrsocopy (SEM) images of the obtained metallic deposits are shown in figure 6 along with EDX analysis.

The silver white color of the deposit in the SEM image indicates the metallic Sn (fig. 6a). The EDX analysis (fig. 6b) confirmed the presence of mostly Sn with very small amount of Cl (<1%) in the deposit. The Cl in EDX spectrum

5.87 0.61

0.01









might have originated from ChCl-EG melt inclusion into the Sn deposit.

The Pb deposition experiments from WEEE sample led to a fairly adherent gray-silver deposit, for which the SEM images and EDX pattern are shown in figures 6c,d. The SEM image is bright. The deposit has a rod-like structure with and average diameter of ~1 μ m and length ~200 mm as SEM image shows at x2000 magnification. The dendritic clusters are made of cubic crystals as seen in figure 6c. EDX analysis (fig.6d) of the deposit obtained at -0.3 V confirms that all peaks correspond to Pb. No other peaks were exhibited, suggesting that the main chemical constituent of the deposit is lead.

The SEM image of the Zn deposit obtained is shown in figure 6e and illustrates a thick, homogeneous bluish-white

grey layer. The average size of the deposited particulate Zn is about 20 μ m. The zinc deposit exhibits dendrite clusters, which are built up of crystal-like structure. The EDX analysis (fig.6f) shows only zinc peaks confirming that metallic zinc was obtained.

Conclusions

The paper demonstrates that deep eutectic solvent of choline chloride and ethylene glycol can be properly used as a solvent for metals extraction in the presence of iodine and using a sonicated bath in order to increase the dissolution process. The metallic complex alloy obtained from the WEEE melting in microwave furnace was successfully dissolved and high purity Sn, Pb and Zn deposits were obtained for low current densities.

The electrodeposition of Sn, Pb and Zn from this ionic liquid has been studied.

The electrodeposition was carried out at potentials vs. Ag quasi-reference: -0.45 V for Sn, -0.31 V for Pb and -1.27 V for Zn. The current efficiency of selective electrodeposition of Sn, Pb and Zn was about 85-95%. The deposits were analyzed using XRD and SEM/EDX techniques and confirmed the deposition of pure metals on the copper cathodes. Successful extraction of tin, lead and zinc from anodic dissolution slime of electric and electronic waste (WEEE) was acomplished.

Acknowledgements: This paper has been achieved with the financial support of MEN-UEFISCDI Romania, through Joint Applied Research Project PN-II 82/2014 and was partially financed by the Romanian Academy under research project Ionic Liquids: properties and electrochemical applications of Ilie Murgulescu Institute of Physical Chemistry. The XRD measurements were achieved under the bilateral collaboration of Romanian Academy –National Academy of Science of Belarus for 2017. All authors contributed equally to this work.

References

1. BERENBLYUM, A., KATSMAN, E., KARASEV, Y., Appl. Catal. A: General, **315**, 2006, p.128.

2. OHNO, H., (Ed.), Electrochemical Aspects of Ionic Liquids, Wiley, New York, 2005.

3. ENDRES, F., ABBOTT, A.P., MACFARLANE, D.R., (Eds.), Electrodeposition of metals using ionic liquids, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2008.

4. WHITEHEAD, J.A., ZHANG, J., PEREIRA, N., McCLUSKEY, A., LAWRANCE, G.A., Hydrometallurgy, **88**, 2007, p.109.

5. POPESCU, A.M., DONATH, C., NEACSU, E.I., SOARE, V., CONSTANTIN, V., Rev. Chim. (Bucharest), **67**, no. 6, 2016, p.1076.

6. ABBOTT, A.P., CAPPER, G., MCKENZIE, K., RYDER K., J. Electroanal. Chem., **599**, 2007, p.288. 7. SALOME, S., PEREIRA, N.M., PEREIRA, E.S., PEREIRA, C.M., SILVA, A.F., J. Electroanal. Chem., **703**, 2013, p.80.

XING, S., Ph.D. Dissertation, Trento: University of Trento, Italy, 2014.
GOLGOVICI, F., VISAN, T., Chalcogenide Lett. 8, 2011, p.487.

10. RU, J., HUA, Y., XU, C., LI, J., LI, Y., WANG, D., QI, C., GONG, K., Russ. J. Electrochem., **51**, 2015, p.773.

11. ABBOTT, A.P., BARRON, J.C., RYDER, K.S., Trans. Inst. Met. Finish., 87, 2009, p.201.

12. ABBOTT, A.P., CARPER, G., MCKENZIE, K.J., RYDER, K.S., J. Electroanal. Chem., **599**, 2007, p.288.

13. POPESCU, A.M., ADYA, A.K., CONSTANTIN, V., Fluid Phase Equil., **395**, 2015, p.58.

14. SOARE, V., DUMITRESCU, D., BURADA, M., CONSTANTIN, I., SOARE, V., CAPOTA, P., POPESCU, A.M., CONSTANTIN, V., Rev. Chim. (Bucharest), **67**, no. 5, 2016, p.920.

15. GOLGOVICI, F., COJOCARU, A., ANICAI, L., VISAN, T., Mater. Chem. Phys. **126**, 2011, p.700.

16. GHOSH, S., ROY, S., J. Mater. Sci. Eng. B, 190, 2014, p.104.

17. GOSH, S., ROY, S., Electrochim. Acta, 183, 2015, p.27.

18. PEREIRA, N.M., SALOME, S., PEREIRA, C.M., SILVA, A.F., J. Appl. Electrochem., 42, 2012, p.561.

19. GOLGOVICI, F., Chem. Bull. Politehnica Univ. Timisoara, **56**, 2011, p.62.

20. ABBOTT, A.P., BARRON, J.C., FRISCH, G., RYDER, K.S., SILVA, A.F., Electrochim. Acta, **56**, 2011, p.5272.

21. POLZLERA, M., WHITEHEADA, A.H., GOLLAS, B., ECS Trans., 25, 2010, p.43.

 ICDD, PCPDFWIN v 2.01, JCPDS-International Center for Diffraction Data, diffraction pattern file: 85-2838, 85-5648, 81-1936, 01-1242, 1998.
LIPSON, H.S., LIPSON, G., STEEPLE, H., STIPL, G., Interpretation of X-ray Powder Diffraction Patterns, Mir, Moscow, 1972.

24. McCARTHY, G.J., MARTIN, K.J, HOLZER, J.M., GRIER, D.G., Adv.X-ray Analysis, 35, 1992, p.17.

25. THEIVASANTHI, T., ALAGAR, M., Arch. Phys. Res., **1**, 2010, p.112. 26. Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards (JCPDS), International Centre for Diffraction Data (ICDD), Newtown Square, PA, PDF-2 Data Base JCPDS-ICDD, JCPDS Card No. 026-1451 and Card No. 00-004-0836, 2007

Manuscript received: 29.11.2016